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What Drives SME Growth?

Our research is project based and reflects a number of core themes each linked to growth:

- Growth Ambition,
- Management and Leadership
- Diversity
- Finance and Governance
- Innovation and Exporting
- Business Demography
Policy Context

Protection of science and research funding: £1.5bn Global Challenges Research Fund (2016-2021); £6.9bn capital investment in new equipment, labs and research institutes (2015-2021)
Autumn Statement announcement of £2bn new R&D spend by 2020

UK Research and Innovation (UKRI)

• **Purpose**: ‘to integrate research and Innovate UK functions, which offers an opportunity to strengthen the **strategic approach** to future challenges and **maximise value** from Government’s investment of over £6 billion per annum in research and innovation’.

• Improved collaboration between the research base and the commercialisation of discoveries in the business community, ensuring that research outcomes can be fully exploited for the benefit of the UK

Source: BIS, June 2016 The case for the creation of UK Research and Innovation, p.4
Profiling UK Innovators

[Bar chart showing collaboration types for different market segments: New to Market Innov, Uni Collab, Consultancy Collab, Customer Collab, Supplier Collab, Competitor Collab, In house R&D, Design, Exporter. Each segment is broken down into All, Large, Medium, Small.]

- New to Market Innov: 44.9%
- Uni Collab: 20.0%
- Consultancy Collab: 24.0%
- Customer Collab: 48.8%
- Supplier Collab: 43.9%
- Competitor Collab: 19.4%
- In house R&D: 75.5%
- Design: 51.4%
- Exporter: 63.4%
The knowledge utility-accessibility trade-off
Size matters?
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Data & Method

- UK Innovation Surveys (UKIS) 2004-2012 (5 waves)
- Stratified sample, postal, non-compulsory, bi-annual, response rate 51% (2008-10) - 58% (2002-04): unbalanced panel. Focus on responses for 2 consecutive waves; c.1000 obs per double-wave; N=3,581

Dependent variable 1: New to the market innovation (0/1)
Dependent variable 2: Regional University Collaboration (0/1)
Dependent variable 3: National University Collaboration (0/1)
Dependent variable 4: International University Collaboration (0/1)

- C.20% collaborate & more likely for larger firms
- Multivariate dynamic and recursive probit model allowing the simultaneous estimation of the probability of introducing NTM innovation, conditional on the likelihood of collaborating with a University at regional, national and international level:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NTMI}_t &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{UNICOLLAB\_REG}_t + \alpha_2 \text{UNICOLLAB\_NAT}_t \\
 &+ \alpha_3 \text{UNICOLLAB\_INT}_t + \alpha_4 \text{OTHCOLLAB\_REG}_t + \alpha_5 \text{OTHCOLLAB\_NAT}_t + \alpha_6 \text{OTHCOLLAB\_INT}_t + \alpha_7 \text{FLC}_t + \epsilon_1_t \\
\text{UNICOLLAB\_REG}_t &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{NTMI}_{t-1} + \beta_2 \text{UNICOLLAB\_REG}_{t-1} + \beta_3 \text{OTHCOLLAB\_REG}_{t-1} + \beta_4 \text{FLC}_t + \epsilon_2_t \\
\text{UNICOLLAB\_NAT}_t &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{NTMI}_{t-1} + \gamma_2 \text{UNICOLLAB\_NAT}_{t-1} + \gamma_3 \text{OTHCOLLAB\_NAT}_{t-1} + \gamma_4 \text{FLC}_t + \epsilon_3_t \\
\text{UNICOLLAB\_INT}_t &= \delta_0 + \delta_1 \text{NTMI}_{t-1} + \delta_2 \text{UNICOLLAB\_INT}_{t-1} + \delta_3 \text{OTHCOLLAB\_INT}_{t-1} + \delta_4 \text{FLC}_t + \epsilon_4_t \\
\epsilon &= (\epsilon_{1t}, \epsilon_{2t}, \epsilon_{3t}, \epsilon_{4t})' \sim N(0, \Sigma)
\end{align*}
\]
Distance matters: Don’t go too far!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaboration with a University Type</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with a Regional University</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with a National University</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with an International University</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: estimation results of marginal effects, numbers in parentheses denote non statistically significant estimation results.
Size also matters!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaboration with a University Type</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with a Regional University</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with a National University</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with an International University</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
<td>-0.063</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>(0.039)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: estimation results of marginal effects, numbers in parentheses denote non statistically significant estimation results.
• An inverted-U shape trade-off relationship exists wrt distance between collaborating B-U partners and the likely cost-benefit of collaboration.

• Small firms facing resource constraints may find it difficult to access distant knowledge but, at the same time, may derive greater benefit due to their weaker internal knowledge resources.

• Larger firms with stronger internal resources may be able to access more distant knowledge but benefit less from that knowledge.
Thank you for your attention!